KAMOTO, T. et al. Appl. No. 10/665,088 Attv Ref.: 1114-189

Amendment

September 10, 2008

REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 54, 56-58, 60-76, 78-80 and 82-96 are pending. Claims 64-69, 73, 74,

85-91, 95 and 96 are indicated as withdrawn on page 1 of the Office Action dated June

10, 2008. The above status identifiers are based on the listing of the status of the

claims on page 1 of the Office Action. Claims 64-69, 87-91, 95 and 96 have been

withdrawn from consideration however based on the statement of the restriction

requirement on page 2 of the Office Action dated June 10, 2008. Clarification of the

record is requested.

The claims have been amended, without prejudice, to advance prosecution.

Support for the revisions may be found throughout the specification. The Examiner is

requested to see, for example, pages 59-61 and 99-100, of the specification. No new

matter has been added.

A Decision on the applicants Petition of October 25, 2007 is requested

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 70 and 92 over claims

1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 7.264.664 is obviated by the attached Terminal Disclaimer. The

attached Terminal Disclaimer and fee are being filed without prejudice, to advance

prosecution. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

The Section 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 60, 61, 63, 70, 82, 83, 85

and 92 is obviated by above amendments. Withdrawal of the Section 112, second

paragraph, rejection is requested.

- 12 -

1379720

KAMOTO, T. et al. Appl. No. 10/665,088 Atty Ref.: 1114-189 Amendment

September 10, 2008

The Section 102 rejection of claims 54, 56-58, 62, 71, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 84, 93 and 94 over Lauw (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,051) is obviated by the above amendments. The cited art fails to teach, for example, the surfactants of the claimed invention. Withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection is requested.

The Section 102 rejection of claims 54, 56, 57, 58, 62, 71, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 84, 93 and 94 over Koga (U.S. Patent No. 7,014,695) is obviated by the above amendments. The cited art fails to teach, for example, the surfactants of the claimed invention. Withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection is requested.

The Section 102 rejection of claims 54, 56, 57, 58, 62, 71, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 84, 93 and 94 over Nagashima (U.S. Patent No. 6,676,734) is obviated by the above amendments. The cited art fails to teach, for example, the surfactants of the claimed invention. Withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection is requested.

The Section 103 rejection of claims 60, 61, 82, 83 over Nagashima et al in view of Yatake (US Patent 5,746,818) is obviated by the above amendments. The applicants believe the cited combination of art would not have made the claimed invention obvious as the disclosure of Yatake is not believed to cure the deficiencies noted above with regard to Nagashima. Withdrawal of the Section 103 rejection is requested.

The Section 103 rejection of claims 60, 61, 82, 83 over Nagashima et al in view of Hayashi (US Patent 6,500,248) is obviated by the above amendments. The applicants believe the cited combination of art would not have made the claimed invention obvious as the disclosure of Hayashi is not believed to cure the deficiencies

KAMOTO, T. et al. Appl. No. 10/665,088 Atty Ref.: 1114-189

Amendment

September 10, 2008

noted above with regard to Nagashima. Withdrawal of the Section 103 rejection is requested.

The Section 103 rejection of claims 63 and 85 over Nagashima et al in view of

Kato (US Patent 6,440,203) in view of JP 41 -52925 and Uemura et al (2001/0029870)

is believed to be obviated by the above amendments. The applicants believe the cited

combination of art would not have made the claimed invention obvious as the

disclosures of the further secondary references are not believed to cure the deficiencies

noted above with regard to Nagashima. Withdrawal of the Section 103 rejection is

requested.

The claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that

effect is requested. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned in the event $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

anything further is required in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

B. J. Sadoff/

Reg. No. 36,663

B.IS:

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100